



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,594	10/01/2001	John S. Hendricks	SEDN/3698D10	5653
56015 7590 01/27/2009 WALL & TONG, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			EXAMINER SHELEHEDA, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT 2424	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/966,594

Applicant(s)

HENDRICKS, JOHN S.

Examiner

JAMES SHELEHEDA

Art Unit

2424

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7,10-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7,10-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Strubbe '924 discloses tracking programs watched by the viewer to determine favorites (column 5, lines 12-32). Individual programs are identified based upon the *channel and time* (column 5, lines 12-32). Strubbe '924 fails to disclose tracking a number of time said subscriber watches a program, as Strubbe '924 only counts the first time the program is viewed.

Goldstein discloses a system for tracking favorite channels by incrementally counting each time the channel is viewed (column 26, lines 15-62). Further, Goldstein explicitly indicates that the system will track both the channel viewed and the time (column 26, lines 27-39). This tracking of both channel and time can be used to track particular *programs* that are favored (column 26, lines 18-26 and column 26, line 63-column 27, line 16).

Thus, the combination of Strubbe '924 and Goldstein clearly provide for tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program. Strubbe '924 discloses using channel and time to track whether a program was indicated as a favorite by being watched at least once. Goldstein discloses using channel and time to track whether a particular event or program on a channel is a favorite by tracking the cumulative number of times the program or event was watched.

While applicant attempts to argue that the tracking of viewing of a program and the tracking of the viewing of a channel are different and require different technology, it is noted that both Strubbe '924 and Goldstein are using the same information for tracking purposes, channel vs. time. Thus, although Strubbe '924 merely counts a single instance of a particular channel vs. time (or program) being viewed, Goldstein discloses the benefits of continuing to count additional viewings of the particular program (channel vs. time). Therefore, applicant's arguments are not convincing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3-7, 10-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strubbe (5,223,924) (of record) (incorporating by reference Strubbe

et al. (5,047,867) (Strubbe '867) [see Strubbe '924 at column 2, lines 31-49 and column 4, lines 27-38] in view of Goldstein (5,410,326) (of record).

As to claim 1, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal for generating an interactive electronic program guide for display on a television connected to the set top terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), the terminal comprising:

means for retrieving information (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32) via a program control information signal (TV program information data signal; see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2) of a program selected from a plurality of programs and watched by a subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 26-32);

means for storing said information (memory section, 52, Fig. 3; see Strubbe '924 at column 4, lines 17-26);

means for identifying (CPU, 50) frequently watched programs most often watched by said subscriber based upon said stored information (automatically identifying watched programs based upon the first database; see Strubbe '924 at column 4, lines 21-26 and column 5, lines 23-32);

means for receiving a television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting individual programs from the television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for generating an electronic program guide for controlling display of content on a television screen (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47), the guide comprising:

a favorites menu including names of programs available for selection (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47), wherein the programs included in the favorites menu are based on the identified frequently watched programs (customized program listing based upon the identified watched programs; column 5, lines 24-42);

means for receiving selection signals from a user input (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 47) of a program that will be broadcast at a future time selected from said favorites menu (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 8a and column 6, lines 25-49);

means for notifying said subscriber that a change of channel is imminent based upon nearing said future time of said program that will be broadcast at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49); and

means for changing said channel to said program at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49), he fails to specifically disclose tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program.

In an analogous art, Goldstein discloses a television system (Fig. 1) which will monitor user actions and track the number of times a subscriber watches a channel (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 27-48) for identifying the channels most often watched by the viewer and determine favorites (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 15-62) for the typical benefit of

more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing (column 26, lines 27-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe '924 to include tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program, as taught by combination with Goldstein, for the typical benefit of more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing.

As to claim 3, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose means for storing in memory identifiers of the frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at column 5, lines 20-32 and column 4, lines 23-29 and see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 4, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for retrieving said information includes means for identifying frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62) and means for storing in memory the frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 5, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the menu includes a list of frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62 and Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-42).

As to claim 6, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for generating includes means for generating the favorites menu to display programs selected by the means for identifying (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19).

As to claim 7, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), comprising:

means for receiving information including information (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32) via a program control information signal (TV program information data signal; see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2) about television viewing preferences of a subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 26-32);

a memory that stores the information (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 3, 54, column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32);

means for identifying (CPU, 50) frequently watched programs most often watched by said subscriber based upon said stored information (automatically identifying watched programs based upon the first database; see Strubbe '924 at column 4, lines 21-26 and column 5, lines 23-32);

means for receiving a television signal from an operations center (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting from the signal individual programs for display on a television associated with the terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 3, lines 38-58); and

means for generating an interactive program menu on the television (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47), listing a group of available programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47), the group being based on said identified frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47);

means for receiving a signal from a user input device (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 47) selecting a program available at a future time selected from said group of identified frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 8a and column 6, lines 25-49);

means for notifying said subscriber that a change of channel is imminent based upon nearing said future time of said program that will be broadcast at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49); and

means for changing said channel to said program at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49), he fails to specifically disclose tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program.

In an analogous art, Goldstein discloses a television system (Fig. 1) which will monitor user actions and track the number of times a subscriber watches a channel (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 27-48) for identifying the channels most often watched by the viewer and determine favorites (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 15-62) for the typical benefit of

more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing (column 26, lines 27-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe '924 to include tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program, as taught by combination with Goldstein, for the typical benefit of more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing.

As to claim 10, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the television viewing preferences include frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 11, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for receiving information comprises means for automatically tracking information related to viewing habits of the subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 12, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for automatically tracking comprises automatically tracking information related to frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 13, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for receiving information comprises means for activating a learning mode (see Strubbe '924

at column 5, lines 23-32) and means for receiving the respective subscriber information while the learning mode is activated (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 15, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose means for receiving program content information for the individual programs from the operations center (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 16); and

wherein the means for generating comprises means for generating the menu including said identified frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19).

As to claim 16, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal for generating a customized menu of available programs for selection by a user (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), the terminal comprising:

means for receiving a television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting individual programs from the television signal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 3, lines 38-58);

means for matching individual programs to said user based upon information received via a program control information signal (TV program information data signal; see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2) about television viewing preferences of said user used to identify frequently watched programs most often watched by said user (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 26-32); and

means for generating an interactive program menu (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47) that displays a group of programs based on said identified frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47 and column 6, lines 5-24);

means for receiving a signal from a user input device (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 47) selecting a program available at a future time selected from said group of identified frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 8a and column 6, lines 25-49);

means for notifying said subscriber that a change of channel is imminent based upon nearing said future time of said program that will be broadcast at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49); and

means for changing said channel to said program at said future time (column 6, lines 42-49), he fails to specifically disclose tracking a number of times said subscriber watches a program.

In an analogous art, Goldstein discloses a television system (Fig. 1) which will monitor user actions and track the number of times a subscriber watches a channel (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 27-48) for identifying the channels most often watched by the viewer and determine favorites (Fig. 20; column 26, lines 15-62) for the typical benefit of more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing (column 26, lines 27-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe '924 to include tracking a number of times said

subscriber watches a program, as taught by combination with Goldstein, for the typical benefit of more accurately identifying which viewed channels are preferred, by sorting the viewed channels by the frequency of viewing.

As to claim 17, Strubbe '924 and Goldstein disclose wherein the means for matching comprises means for matching based on information regarding content of the individual programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, line 48-column 6, line 4 and column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2) provided in said program control information signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with

Art Unit: 2424

all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () _____ - _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2424

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES SHELEHEDA whose telephone number is (571)272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Sheleheda/
Examiner, Art Unit 2424

JS